Math 60670 Midterm

Due by 11:59pm Friday March 22. Send your exam to nedelen@nd.edu. You may use Lee's and do Carmo's books, the class notes, and previous homeworks and midterms from this class, but no other resources. You are allowed to quote theorems/lemmas/corollaries from the book or class or previous homework that we or the book have proven. You are not allowed to quote statements from the books that are given without proof (e.g. exercises).

Q1: In class we showed there always exists a unique torsion-free, metric-compatible linear connection on (M, g) (the Levi-Civita connection). Consider the sets C_1 consisting of the connections which are torsion-free but not necessarily metric-compatible, and C_2 consisting of the connections which are metric-compatible but not necessarily torsion-free. For each i = 1, 2, show that C_i is in bijection with a certain set of (1, 2)-tensor fields on M, and find a 0-th order characterization for these tensors (i.e. without using any derivatives or connections).

Q2: Let X be a smooth vector field in (M, g), and let $\phi_t(p)$, $t \in I$, be the flow of X. Given a (0, 2)-tensor field T on M, define the Lie derivative of T w.r.t. X to be the (0, 2)-tensor defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_X T|_p)(Y,Z) := \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} (T|_{\phi_t(p)}(D\phi_t|_p Y, D\phi_t|_p Z) - T|_p(Y,Z)).$$

A. Prove that \mathcal{L}_X obeys the following product rule: if $X,Y,Z\in\mathcal{X}(M)$, then

$$X(T(Y,Z)) = (\mathcal{L}_X T)(Y,Z) + T(\mathcal{L}_X Y,Z) + T(Y,\mathcal{L}_X Z),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_X Y = [X, Y]$.

B. X is called a Killing field if the diffeomorphism ϕ_t is an isometry for all $t \in I$. Show that

X is a Killing field $\iff \mathcal{L}_X g = 0$ $\iff \langle \nabla_Y X, Z \rangle + \langle Y, \nabla_Z X \rangle = 0$ for all vectors Y, Z.

(here ∇ is of course the Levi-Civita connection w.r.t. g)

C. If $X = \operatorname{grad} f$ for some function f, show that X is Killing $\iff \nabla^2 f = 0$.

- **D.** Prove that along a geodesic γ , a Killing field X satisfies $\langle X, \gamma' \rangle = const.$ (Aside: if M is a rotationally symmetric surface, then taking X to be the Killing field generating rotations gives a more geometric proof of Clairaut's relation, which you derived in HW5.)
- **Q3:** Let (M^n, g) be a complete, non-compact Riemannian manifold. We say M has m ends if there are compacts sets $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$ such that $M = \bigcup_i K_i$ and $M \setminus K_i$ has precisely m connected components for all i >> 1. A geodesic line $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is a geodesic parameterized by arclength such that $d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |t s|$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\gamma|_I$ is minimizing for every finite interval I). Show that if M has more than one end, then M contains a geodesic line. Does the converse hold?